

## Linda R. Monk - *Words We Live By: Your Annotated Guide to the Constitution* - Grade 8

---

Originally published in New York: Hyperion, 2003.

**Learning Objective:** The goal of this one to two day exemplar is to give students the opportunity to observe the dynamic nature of the Constitution through the close reading and writing habits they've been practicing. By reading and rereading the passage closely, and focusing their reading through a series of questions and discussion about the text, students will explore the questions Monk raises and perhaps even pursue additional avenues of inquiry. When combined with writing about the passage, not only will students form a deeper appreciation of Monk's argument and the value of struggling with complex text, but of the Preamble of the Constitution itself.

**Reading Task:** *Rereading is deliberately built into the instructional unit. Students will silently read the passage in question on a given day—first independently and then following along with the text as the teacher and/or skillful students read aloud. Depending on the difficulties of a given text and the teacher's knowledge of the fluency abilities of students, the order of the student silent read and the teacher reading aloud with students following might be reversed. What is important is to allow all students to interact with challenging text on their own as frequently and independently as possible. Students will then reread specific passages in response to a set of concise, text-dependent questions that compel them to examine the meaning and structure of Monk's argument.*

**Vocabulary Task:** *Most of the meanings of words in this selection can be discovered from careful reading of the context in which they appear. Where it is judged this is not possible, underlined words are defined briefly for students in a separate column whenever the original text is reproduced. At times, this is all the support these words need. At other times, particularly with abstract words, teachers will need to spend more time explaining and discussing these words. Teachers can use discussions to model and reinforce how to learn vocabulary from contextual clues. Students must be held accountable for engaging in this practice. There is a longer discussion of this in the "Vocabulary" section. In addition, for subsequent readings, high value academic ('Tier Two') words have been **bolded** to draw attention to them. Given how crucial vocabulary knowledge is to students' academic and career success, it is essential that these high value words be discussed and lingered over during the instructional sequence.*

**Discussion Task:** *Students will discuss the passage in depth with their teacher and their classmates, performing activities that result in a close reading of Monk's text. The goal is to foster student confidence when encountering complex text and to reinforce the skills they have acquired regarding how to build and extend their understanding of a text. A general principle is to always reread the portion of text that provides evidence for the question under discussion. This gives students another encounter with the text, reinforces the use of text evidence, and helps develop fluency.*

**Writing Task:** *Students will paraphrase Thurgood Marshall's quote and then write an explanation of Monk's text in response to one of three prompts. Teachers might afford students the opportunity to rewrite their explanation or revise their in-class paraphrase after participating in classroom discussion, allowing them to refashion both their understanding of the text and their expression of that understanding.*

**Text Selection:** This selection, taken from Appendix B of the CCSS, while brief, allows for an in-depth investigation into three of the most highly charged words in the Constitution and offers a capsule history of the dramatic and sweeping changes to how the phrase “We the People” has been interpreted over the years. Rich both in meaning and vocabulary, not only does the excerpt from Monk’s text validate the close reading approach, but it also presents a focused and concise opportunity that students in both ELA and history classrooms will find engaging.

**Outline of Lesson Plan:** This lesson can be delivered in one or two days of instruction and reflection on the part of students and their teacher, with the possibility of adding additional days of instruction (see Appendix A) or an additional day devoted to peer review and revision of the culminating writing assignment.

**Standards Covered:** The following Common Core State Standards are the focus of this exemplar: RI.8.1-3, RI.8.5, RI.8.6; W.8.2, W.8.4, W.8.9.





Text under Discussion	Directions for Teachers/Guiding Questions For Students
<p>The first three words of the Constitution are the most important. They clearly state that the people—not the king, not the <u>legislature</u>, not the courts—are the true rulers in American government. This <b>principle</b> is known as popular <b>sovereignty</b>.</p> <p>But who are “We the People”? This question troubled the nation for centuries. As Lucy Stone, one of America’s first advocates for women’s rights, asked in 1853, ““We the People’? Which ‘We the People’? The women were not included.” Neither were white males who did not own property, American Indians, or African Americans—slave or free.</p>	<p><i>Elected body that creates laws</i></p> <p><b>3. Ask the class to answer a small set of text-dependent guided questions and perform targeted tasks about the passage, with answers in the form of notes, annotations to the text, or more formal responses as appropriate.</b></p> <p>As students move through these questions, and reread Monk’s text, be sure to check for and reinforce their understanding of academic vocabulary in the corresponding text (which will be <b>boldfaced</b> the first time it appears in the text). At times, the questions may focus on academic vocabulary.</p> <p><b>(Q1) What is (and isn’t) the meaning of “popular sovereignty”? Why does Monk claim that this is the form of government in America?</b></p> <p>These are fairly straightforward questions for students to answer but must be grasped to understand the remainder of Monk’s analysis. The second question requires students to infer that the first three words of the Constitution refer to the doctrine of popular sovereignty, and perceptive students will be able to connect the title of the chapter and/or the opening of the second paragraph to the Constitution’s Preamble.</p> <p><b>(Q2) Is Lucy Stone confused when she asks “Which ‘We the People’?” Why does Monk say this question has “troubled the nation”?</b></p> <p>Students need to be able to discern that Stone is not confused but rather critical of the seemingly all-embracing phrase “We the People” when looked at in the light of America’s history. It is this history that Monk says is “troubled”. Then it is revealed that the “true rulers in American Government” did not include women, Native Americans, free blacks, enslaved African-Americans, or even white males who did not own property. Students should be able to deduce that those with the vote were primarily white men with property.</p> <p><i>N.B. Assuming this is a part of a unit on government/civics, students should be familiar with terms like Constitution, Supreme Court, and Preamble. Given their importance, teachers should still “check-in” with students and briefly review to help solidify students’ grasp of these concepts. If it is not, then this reading will serve as a solid introduction to these essential words.</i></p>

Text under Discussion	Directions for Teachers/Guiding Questions For Students
<p>Justice Thurgood Marshall, the first African American on the Supreme Court, described the <b>limitation</b>:</p> <p>for a sense of the <b>evolving</b> nature of the constitution, we need look no further than the first three words of the document’s preamble: ‘we the people.’ when the founding fathers used this phrase in 1787, they did not have in mind the majority of America’s citizens . . . the men who gathered in Philadelphia in 1787 could not... have imagined, nor would they have accepted, that the document they were drafting would one day be <u>construed</u> by a Supreme Court to which had been appointed a woman and the <b>descendant</b> of an African slave.</p>	<p><b>(Q3) What does the phrase “founding fathers” mean? Why does Marshall think the founding fathers could not have imagined a female or black Supreme Court Justice?</b></p> <p>This question is a good way to summarize the argument so far as answering it will drive students back to what was read and discussed earlier. The correct answer relies on making the connection between the lack of political rights granted to women and blacks by the founders—those that wrote the Constitution—and recognizing Marshall’s point that at the time he was writing both a female and the descendant of a slave were members of the Supreme Court—the judicial body that holds the final interpretation of the Constitution.</p> <p><i>interpreted</i></p> <p><b>Having discussed the meaning of Marshall’s quote, ask students to put his ideas into their own words in a brief two to three sentence paraphrase.</b></p> <p>Insisting that students paraphrase Marshall at this point will solidify their understanding of Monk’s analysis as well as test their ability to communicate that understanding fluently in writing. Teachers should circulate and perform “over the shoulder” conferences with students to check comprehension and offer commentary that could lead to on the spot revision of their “translation” of Marshall’s ideas.</p> <p><b>Sidebar: Images of the Supreme Court over the last century</b></p> <p>If students are particularly intrigued by the composition of the Supreme Court, Appendix B includes a series of images of the justices every forty years starting in 1890, vividly illustrating the demographic changes the court has undergone.</p>

Text of “ <i>The Preamble: We the People</i> ”		Directions for Teachers/Guiding Questions For Students
<p>Through the <u>Amendment</u> process, more and more Americans were eventually included in the Constitution’s definition of “We the People.” After the Civil War, the Thirteenth Amendment ended slavery, the Fourteenth Amendment gave African Americans <u>citizenship</u>, and the Fifteenth Amendment gave black men the vote. In 1920, the Nineteenth Amendment gave women the right to vote nationwide, and in 1971, the Twenty-sixth Amendment extended <b>suffrage</b> to eighteen-year-olds.</p>	<p><i>formal change to a legal contract</i></p> <p><i>membership in a state or nation with rights, privileges, and duties</i></p>	<p><b>(Q4) What evidence is there in this paragraph regarding Marshall’s claim about the “evolving nature of the constitution”?</b></p> <p>This question requires students to methodically cite evidence to completely answer the question and grasp that the amendment process changed the meaning of who was included in “the people.”</p> <p><b>Sidebar: The Goals of the Constitution</b></p> <p>If students are intrigued, teachers can share with students the text of the Preamble and ask them to identify what the founding fathers were trying to accomplish in forming a Constitutional government through popular sovereignty:</p> <p><b>Text of the Preamble</b></p> <p>We the People of the United States, in Order to form a more perfect Union, establish Justice, insure domestic Tranquility, provide for the common defence, promote the general Welfare, and secure the Blessings of Liberty to ourselves and our Posterity, do ordain and establish this Constitution for the United States of America.</p>

## Explanatory Writing Assignment: Directions for Teachers and Students / Guidance for Teachers

**For homework, write a paragraph length explanation that answers one of the following prompts. Provide evidence from the text in your response to justify your analysis:**

- Explain how the notion of who “the people” were has changed over time in America.
- How does Thurgood Marshall’s presence on the Supreme Court illustrate the evolution of the constitution?
- Analyze Monk’s explanation of the modifications that have been made to the Constitution.

**Extension Activity for Day Two: During the next class period, teachers could have students peer review or revise the explanatory writing pieces they completed for homework.**

**Teachers might wish to consider the following guidance with regards to evaluating the following prompts:**


- Explain how Amendments 13, 14, 15, and 19 have changed the notion of who “the people” were over time in America.  
Teachers should look for a logical explanation of the evolution of who has been considered a “person” in the eyes of America over time. The paragraph could be organized chronologically, noting that at the nation’s founding the creators of the constitution would not “have in mind the majority of America’s citizens” and primarily saw persons as white males with property. Students should then observe that over time, however, the notion of “We the People” has grown to include African Americans (through the 13<sup>th</sup>, 14<sup>th</sup>, and 15<sup>th</sup> Amendment), as well as women with the Nineteenth Amendment. They might invoke Thurgood Marshall’s observation that these two groups, previously discriminated against, now have representatives on the Supreme Court—the final arbiter of the Constitution’s “We the People.” Students could end by noting the extension of the franchise to 18 year olds and perhaps point out that the final status of one group mentioned early on remains unexplained—Native Americans.
- How does Thurgood Marshall’s presence on the Supreme Court illustrate the evolution of the constitution?  
Teachers should look for student essays that address the question asked, i.e. focus on why the fact that Thurgood Marshall is on the Supreme Court reflects the notion of an evolving constitution. Students might start by explaining that Marshall was “the first African American on the Supreme Court” and note that at the founding of America “We the People” did not recognize the status of African Americans “slave or free.” They might go on to explain what is meant by an evolving constitution, citing the fact that “[t]hrough the amendment process” groups that were earlier not included under the framework of popular sovereignty were now added (in the case of African Americans, the 13<sup>th</sup>, 14<sup>th</sup>, and 15<sup>th</sup> amendments added to the constitution specifically addressed their status), paving the way for Marshall’s ascension to the court a century later. To round out their essay, they might integrate Marshall’s ironic observation that “[t]he men who gathered in Philadelphia in 1787 could not . . . have imagined . . . that the document they were drafting would one day be construed by a Supreme Court to which had been appointed . . . the descendant of an African slave.”
- Analyze Monk’s explanation of the modifications that have been made to the Constitution.  
Students might begin their paragraph by observing that Monk begins her analysis noting the significance of the doctrine of popular sovereignty and how that opens up the question of who “the people” are. Teachers should look for students then to consider the various causal mechanisms for change to the Constitution, from the role of “advocates for women’s rights” like Lucy Stone to the importance of trailblazers like Thurgood Marshall, “the first African American on the Supreme Court.” But students should give special emphasis to “the amendment process” and how through it “more and more Americans were eventually included in the Constitution’s definition of ‘We the People.’” Students might round out their paragraphs by citing some of the changes to the constitution in the form of various amendments (e.g. “the Nineteenth Amendment gave women the right to vote nationwide”).

## **Appendix A: Additional Instructional Opportunities for Monk's *Words We Live By: Your Annotated Guide to the Constitution***

If teachers wish to add additional instructional time, they might consider having small groups of students of mixed ability or even individual students pursue one of these lines of investigation.

1. Although Marshall is right in claiming that the Founding Fathers did not imagine an African-American or a woman serving on the court, they did envision possible changes to the Constitution and created an amendment process to accommodate such changes. Indeed, right after the Constitution was written, ten Amendments were passed, commonly referred to as the Bill of Rights. Students could look into the reasons why the Constitution was altered so soon after it was adopted or pick one of the Amendments in the Bill of Rights and research the history of that particular amendment or a particular legal case connected with it. (e.g. *Gideon v. Wainwright* and the Sixth Amendment).
2. The idea of a changing definition of “people” in Monk’s text will intrigue middle school students. They could examine the different “types” of people at the nation’s founding (immigrants, Native Americans, indentured servants, slaves, etc) and how they have been viewed within a Constitutional framework. Specific historical events could be used to illuminate the treatment of groups not protected by the constitution, from the Cherokee Removal to the Chinese Exclusion Act to even the failure of the Equal Rights Amendment.
3. There are many times the Constitution is invoked on both sides of a debate about rights. To reinforce the concept that the U.S. Constitution is a living document, students could investigate an area of debate where the interpretation of an Amendment or amending the Constitution is central to the argument and then debate it in class. Some possibilities are gun control, balancing the federal budget, gay marriage, or even the legality of selling alcohol.
4. Students could select one of the amendments mentioned by Monk that expanded the conception of who “the people” were and research it more in depth, examining the historical background, the reasons for its adoption, and its effects both intended and otherwise.

**Appendix B: Images of the Justices of the Supreme Court**



**Fuller Court, 1890**



**Taft Court, 1930**



**Berger Court, 1970**



**Roberts Court, 2010**

This work was supported by the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation